RATIFICATION OF INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS ON MIGRATION GOVERNANCE / MIGRANTS RIGHTS

As of 26 November 2023

- ILO Migration for Employment Convention (Revised), 1949 (No. 97)
- ILO Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (No. 143)
- 1990 International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families (ICRMW)

STATUS:	
ILO Convention 97:	54 ratifications
ILO Convention 143:	30 ratifications
ICRMW:	59 States Parties plus 11 signatories pending ratification

96 States have ratified/acceded to one or more of these three instruments (+HK-SAR)

State	Ratification ILO	Ratification ILO	Ratification or accession (a)		Signature 1990 ICRMW
(In bold , has ratified one	C-97	C-143			
or more instruments)			19	90 ICRMW	
Albania	02 Mar. 2005	12 Sept. 2006		05 June 2007	
Algeria	19 Oct. 1962		(a)	21 Apr. 2005	
Argentina				23 Feb. 2007	10 Aug. 2004
Armenia	27 Jan. 2006	27 Jan. 2006			26 Sept 2013
Azerbaijan			(a)	11 Jan. 1999	
Bahamas	25 May 1976				
Bangladesh				24 Aug 2011	07 Oct. 1998
Barbados	08 May 1967				
Belgium	27 July 1953				
Belize	15 Dec. 1983		(a)	14 Nov. 2001	
Benin		11June 1980			15 Sept. 2005
Bolivia			(a)	12 Oct. 2000	
Bosnia & Herzegovina	02 June 1993	02 June 1993	(a)	13 Dec. 1996	
Brazil	18 June 1965				
Burkina Faso	09 June 1961	09 Dec. 1977		26 Nov. 2003	16 Nov. 2001
Cambodia					27 Sept. 2004
Cameroon	03 Sept. 1962	04 July 1978			15 Dec. 2009
Cape Verde			(a)	16 Sept. 1997	
Chad				22 Feb. 2022	26 Sept. 2012
Chile				21 Mar. 2005	24 Sept. 1993
Colombia				24 May 1995	
Comoros	15 July 2021	15 July 2021			22 Sept. 2000
Congo (Brazzaville)**	26 Oct. 2023	26 Oct. 2023		31 Mar 2017	29 Sept. 2008
Cote d'Ivoire			(a)	26 Sept. 2023	
Cuba	29 Apr. 1952				
Cyprus	23 Sept. 1960	28 June 1977			
Dominica	28 Feb. 1983				
Ecuador	5 Apr. 1978		(a)	06 Feb. 2002	
El Salvador				14 Mar. 2003	13 Sept. 2002
Egypt			(a)	19 Feb. 1993	•
Fiji				19 Aug 2019	
France	29 Mar. 1954				
Gabon					15 Dec. 2004
Gambia				28 Sept 2018	20 Sept 2017

Germany	22 June 1959				
Ghana	22 June 1939		(a)	08 Sept. 2000	
Granada	9 July 1979		(u)	00 5001. 2000	
Guatemala	13 Feb. 1952			14 Mar. 2003	07 Sept. 2000
Guinea	1010017702	05 June 1978	(a)	08 Sept. 2000	0, 2 0 pti 2 000
Guinea-Bissau		00 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0 0	(4)	28 Sept 2018	12 Sept. 2000
Guyana	8 June 1966			7 July 2010	15 Sept. 2005
Haiti	00000			, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	5 Dec 2013
Honduras				11 Aug. 2005	
Hong Kong (China	22 Jan. 1951				
SAR)*					
Indonesia				31 May 2012	22 Sept. 2004
Israel	30 Mar. 1953				•
Italy	22 Oct. 1952	23 June 1981			
Jamaica	22 Dec. 1962			25 Sept.2008	25 Sept. 2008
Kenya	30 Nov. 1965	09 Apr. 1979			
Kyrgyz Republic	10 Sept. 2008		(a)	29 Sept. 2003	
Lesotho				16 Sept. 2005	24 Sept. 2004
Liberia					22 Sept. 2004
Libyan Arab			(a)	18 June 2004	
Jamahiriya					
The FYR of Macedonia	7 Nov. 1991	17 Nov. 1991			
Madagascar	14 June 2001	11 Jun 2019		13 May 2015	24 Sept 2014
Malawi	22 Mar. 1965			23 Sept. 2022	23 Sept. 2022
Malaysia (Sabah)	03 Mar. 1964				
Mali			(a)	06 June 2003	
Mauritania		23 Sep 2019	(a)	22 Jan. 2007	
Mauritius	02 Dec. 1969				
Mexico				8 Mar. 1999	22 May 1991
Moldova	12 Dec. 2005				
Montenegro	03 June 2006	03 June 2006			23 Oct. 2006
Morocco				21 June 1993	15 Aug. 1991
Mozambique				19 Aug 2013	15 Mar 2012
Netherlands	20 May 1952				
New Zealand	10 Nov. 1950		()	26.0 . 2005	
Nicaragua			<u>(a)</u>	26 Oct. 2005	
Niger	17.0 + 10(0	22 M 1 2022	(a)	18 Mar 2009	
Nigeria	17 Oct. 1960	23 March 2023	(a)	27 July 2009	
Norway	17 Feb. 1955	24 Jan. 1979			20 Sant 2011
Palau Baraguay				22 Samt 2008	20 Sept. 2011
Paraguay Poru				23 Sept. 2008	13 Sept. 2000 22 Sept. 2004
Peru Philippines	21 Apr. 2009	14 Sept. 2006		14 Sept. 2005 05 July 1995	22 Sept. 2004 15 Nov. 1993
Portugal	12 Dec. 1978	12 Dec. 1978		0.5 July 1995	15 1101. 1993
Rwanda	12 Dec. 17/0	12 Dec. 17/0	(a)	15 Dec. 2008	
Saint Lucia	14 May 1980		(a)	15 Dec. 2000	
San Marino	1 + 1v1ay 1900	23 May 1985		+ +	
Saint Vincent and the		25 wiay 1705		29 Oct 2010	
Grenadines				2,000,2010	
Sao Tome & Principe				10 Jan 2017	06 Sept. 2000
Senegal			(a)	09 June 1999	
Serbia	24 Nov. 2000	24 Nov. 2000	(")		11 Nov. 2004
Seychelles			(a)	15 Dec. 1994	
Sierra Leone	25 Aug 2021	25 Aug 2021			15 Sept. 2000
Slovenia	29 May 1992	29 May 1992			1
	· ···· <i>· ··· · · · · · · · · · · · · ·</i>				

Somalia	08 Mar 2021	08 Mar 2021			
Spain	21 Mar. 1967				
Sri Lanka			(a)	11 Mar. 1996	
Sweden		28 Dec. 1982			
Syria				02 June 2005	
Tajikistan	10 Apr. 2007	10 Apr. 2007		08 Jan. 2002	07 Sept. 2000
Tanzania (Zanzibar)	22June 1964				
Trinidad & Tobago	24 May 1963				
Timor Leste			(a)	30 Jan. 2004	
Тодо		08 Nov. 1983		16 Dec 2020	15 Nov. 2001
Türkiye				27 Sept. 2004	13 Jan. 1999
Uganda		31 Mar. 1978	(a)	14 Nov. 1995	
United Kingdom	22 Jan. 1951				
Uruguay	18 Mar. 1954		(a)	15 Feb. 2001	
Venezuela	09 June 1983	09 June 1963		25 Oct 2016	4 Oct 2011
Zambia	02 Dec. 1964				

*China notified 1 July 1997 regarding continued application of ILO Convention 97 in **Hong Kong** Special Administrative Region. ** ILO Conventions C-97 and C-143 will enter into force for **Congo** on 26 Oct 2024.

Texts & information on ILO Conventions at https://www.ilo.org/dyn/normlex/en/f?p=1000:12001:::NO::::

Text & information on the ICRMW at http://www2.ohchr.org/english/bodies/cmw/cmw.htm

* * *

ON RATIFICATION OF INTERNATIONAL CONVENTIONS ON MIGRATION GOVERNANCE/MIGRANTS RIGHTS:

The three International Conventions on migrant workers

Three complementary universal instruments provide the necessary legal framework for protection of migrants' human rights including labour rights, as well as for national migration governance and policy as well as international cooperation to regulate migration:

- 1990 <u>International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant</u> <u>Workers and Members of Their Families</u> (ICRMW)
- ILO Migration for Employment Convention, 1949 (C-97)
- ILO Migrant Workers (Supplementary Provisions) Convention, 1975 (C-143)

The ICRMW explicitly applies the rights elaborated in the International Bill of Rights (Universal Declaration on Human Rights and the 1966 International Covenants on Political and Civil Rights and on Economic, Social and Cultural Rights) to the specific situation of migrant workers and members of their families. Other core UN human rights instruments similarly did so for other groups (e.g., women, children, persons subject to discrimination on *racial*/ethnic grounds, and persons with disabilities). This makes the ICRMW a comprehensive instrument of legal guidance for States in migration governance, formulation of migration policy and treatment of international migrants. The complementary ILO Conventions on migration for employment (C-97 of 1949 and C-143 of 1975) provide specific standards regarding migrant worker employment and occupation. The ICRMW drew in large part from concepts and language in the two preceding ILO Conventions.

The ILO Migration for Employment Convention No. 97 (1949) provides foundations for equal treatment between nationals and regular migrants in recruitment procedures, living and working conditions, and access to justice, tax and social security. It sets out details for

contract conditions, participation of migrants in job training or promotion, provisions for family reunification, and appeals against unjustified termination of employment or expulsion, as well as other measures to regulate the entire migration process.

The subsequent ILO Migrant Workers Convention No. 143 (1975) provides specific guidance regarding treatment of irregular migration and facilitating integration of migrants in host societies. Article 1 establishes the obligation of ratifying States to "respect the basic human rights of all migrant workers," independent of their legal situation in the host State. Its Part II details standards for integration of long term migrant workers.

Twelve reasons to ratify these Conventions

1) To put in place the legal foundation essential for national policy to regulate migration, particularly immigration and integration, and ensure social cohesion.

2) To uphold and strengthen the rule of law by ensuring that legal norms define the basis of migration policy, its implementation, and its supervision.

3) To contribute to ensuring that legal parameters define treatment of all persons on the territory of a country by setting the extent and limits of human rights of migrant workers and members of their families.

4) To signal that origin countries demand respect for the human rights of their nationals abroad and are accountable for the same standards as destination countries.

5) To reinforce the sovereign exercise of a State's prerogative to determine migration policy by affirming conformity with universal legal and ethical norms.

6) To obtain public support for and compliance with migration policy and practice by demonstrating legal soundness and conformity with internationally accepted principles of social justice and human rights.

7) To strengthen social cohesion by establishing that all persons must be treated with respect by virtue of legal recognition and protection of their rights.

8) To explicitly discourage the *commodification* and consequent abuse of migrant workers by legally asserting and enforcing their human rights in national law.

9) To reduce irregular migration by eliminating incentives for labour exploitation, work in abusive conditions and unauthorised employment that fuel trafficking in persons.

10) To facilitate effective national policy by calling on advisory services and good practice support provided by the relevant standards-based international organisations.

11) To obtain clear guidance for bilateral and multilateral cooperation for lawful, humane, and equitable labour migration.

12) To obtain international guidance on implementation of legal norms through the reporting obligations and periodic review by independent expert bodies.

Significant points regarding ratification and reporting

As of 26 October 2023, **101 countries** have **ratified**, **acceded to or signed** at least one of the three international conventions on migrant workers.

96 States have formally ratified or acceded to one or more of these three instruments. <u>These</u> countries have taken on binding international commitments to put migrants' protection and migration policy explicitly under rule of law in national legislation and policy</u>. That is virtually half of the 193 members of the United Nations.

59 States have *acceded to* **or** *signed and ratified the ICRMW*; 11 others have *signed* it, making a total of **70 States** that have at least signed this Convention. *Ratification* following *signature* of the Convention establishes the full State commitment to abide by the principles and provisions of the Convention; to domesticate these in national law, implement and enforce

them; as well as to submit periodic reports to the treaty supervisory Committee on Migrant Workers. States can also formalize adherence to the Convention directly by one-step *accession*. While States that have signed but not yet ratified the ICRMW are not accountable to international supervision and reporting, <u>signature signifies the State's support for the principles in the Convention and its intention to ratify; it establishes *consent to be bound by (the) treaty expressed by signature*, an obligation specified in the Vienna Convention on the Law of Treaties of 1969, Article 12.</u>

Eleven Member States of the European Union have ratified one or both ILO Conventions on migration for employment/migrant workers. They thus established legal foundations for migration policy and practice based on equality of treatment for foreign workers in regular situations, protection of migrant workers labour and social security rights, and international cooperation. Legal studies conducted in nearly a dozen European countries (most of which having ratified ILO C-97 and/or C-143) demonstrated that existing legislation in most of these is already largely in accord with the terms of the ICRMW. These studies generally concluded that resistance to ratification may be more a matter of dissuasive political posture than modest legal or juridical obstacles.

Ratification and implementation improve domestic law and treatment

Readings of reporting to the Treaty body Committee on (rights of) Migrant Workers and the ILO Committee of Experts on Application of Conventions and Recommendations show that treatment of migrant workers and other migrants has improved in all countries that ratified the respective instruments. This in context that all States Parties host migrant/immigrant populations and thus have significant domestic obligations in implementing –and reporting on-- respectively the ICRMW and/or ILO C-97 and C-143. Given that all countries of the world today experience emigration, immigration and transit migration, all would benefit from ratifying or acceding to the IMRWC and ratifying the two complementary ILO instruments, providing the necessary and adequate framework for national governance and regulation of migration under the rule of law.

<u>All **59** countries that ratified or acceded to the ICRMW are origin countries for significant international migrant-emigrant populations and most States Parties host important migrant/immigrant populations.</u>

Ten States Parties to the ICRMW each host populations of from well over one million to as many as nine million international migrants, including refugees: Argentina, Bangladesh, Chile, Colombia, Cote d'Ivoire, Egypt, Mexico, Nigeria, Türkiye and Uganda. Another **twelve** are significant countries of residence --as well as of origin and transit-- with migrants/foreign-born *international migrant stock* numbering in the hundreds of thousands, several over a half-million: Algeria, Azerbaijan, Ecuador, Ghana, Indonesia, Kyrgyz Republic, Morocco, Peru, Senegal, Syria, Uruguay, and Venezuela.

Resource & Link

International Steering Committee for the Campaign for Ratification of the Migrants Rights Conventions (2009): *Guide on Ratification. International Convention on the Protection of the Rights of All Migrant Workers and Members of Their Families*. Available in Arabic, English, French, Spanish, Romanian, Russian. English at: <u>https://www.refworld.org/docid/4a09710a2.html</u>

Website GMPA: <u>www.globalmigrationpolicy.org</u> Link to e-file of this document: <u>http://www.globalmigrationpolicy.org/articles/intStandards/Ratifications 3 migration governance-migrants</u> rights Conventions at 26Nov23.pdf

GMPA/P.A.Taran, revision 26 November 2023